

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-10485-2018
Date of Decision: 05.04.2018**

Vinod Kumar @ Bittu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Pardhuman Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mrs. Anju Arora, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Pawan Kumar, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Vipin Kumar, Advocate
for the complainant.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the event of arrest in FIR No. 164 dated 25.10.2016, registered under Sections 304-B, 34 and 120-B of the IPC, at Police Station Chola City Samana, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner is elder brother of the husband of the deceased. It is also argued that the petitioner was residing separately and was not joint in business and, therefore, he had nothing to with their daily life. In fact, the matter was inquired into and it was found that the petitioner had no role to play in the death of the daughter of the complainant. While arguing for grant of anticipatory bail, learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon investigation

done by Inspector Daljit Singh, Police Station City Samana, who had come to the conclusion that at the marriage of the daughter of the complainant, expenses had been borne by the family members of her husband.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that in fact another SIT had been constituted consisting of SSP, Sangrur, SP (HQ), Sangrur and Incharge, CIA, Bhadur Singwala, who, while taking into account the earlier inquiry report, had come to the conclusion that the petitioner was guilty of committing offence punishable under Sections 304-B, 34 and 120-B of the IPC.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

This Court is not inclined to interfere in the matter, at the present moment, on account of the serious allegations that have been made against the petitioner.

Therefore, the instant petition is hereby dismissed.

05.04.2018
Waseem Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No