

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-10480 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION:13.12.2018

Kuldeep

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY**

Present:- Mr. Rahul Jaswal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Neeraj Sheoran, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*

**DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.**

On 17.9.2018, petitioner had undertaken to deposit an amount of Rs. 1 lac within a period of two weeks. Thereafter on 23.10.2018, petitioner has again undertaken to return the total remaining amount of Rs. 7 lacs within a period of three months.

Order dated 17.9.2018 has been complied with, whereas, order dated 23.10.2018 has not been complied with.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he is not in a position to contact the petitioner as he is not available on the contact number provided to him.

Similarly, learned counsel for respondent-State contends that

whereabouts of the petitioner are not known and he is not residing at the given address.

Since order dated 23.10.2018 has not been complied with and whereabouts of the petitioner are not known, no ground is made out to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner and petition being devoid of any merit is hereby dismissed.

December 13, 2018  
pooja

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes  
No