

Crl. Misc. No.M-10450 of 2018 (O&M).

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-10450 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 23.04.2018**

Ram Murti and others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Ms. Nimarta Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rahul Rathore, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vishal Munjal, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of Complaint No. 3 dated 17.01.2013 registered under Sections 323, 354, 506, 498-A, 406, 120-B, 148 & 149 IPC, summoning order dated 10.03.2015 and the consequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of written compromise arrived at between the parties.

Report has been received from the trial Court after statements of the parties were recorded regarding the compromise. The trial Court has reported that the compromise is voluntary and without any pressure or coercion. The trial Court has also sent copy of the statements of parties.

Crl. Misc. No.M-10450 of 2018 (O&M).

2

No useful purpose would be served to keep the complaint pending.

In view of the statements and report of the trial Court and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid complaint and all consequent proceedings conducted on the basis thereof are quashed qua the petitioners.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

23.04.2018
sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No