

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-10275 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision:09.05.2018**

Hazur Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. H.S.Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.S.Sukarcharkia, DAG, Punjab.

LISA GILL, J(Oral).

Petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No.12 dated 10.02.2018, under Sections 323, 324, 326, 354IPC, registered at Police Station Begowal, District Kapurthala.

Contentions on behalf of the petitioner recorded while issuing notice of motion read as under:-

“It is contended that the petitioner is a 65 years old senior citizen. It is the complainant, who created a ruckus during the marriage ceremony of the grandson of the petitioner's brother. Learned counsel submits that the complainant, a guest at the wedding, was drunk. He misbehaved with the petitioner, pulled at his beard and removed his turban. When the petitioner sought to push him away, the complainant sustained minor injuries. The petitioner received injuries as are reflected in the Medico Legal Report (Annexure P-2).”

It is submitted that the petitioner has since joined investigation.

He undertakes to face the proceedings and not abuse the concession of anticipatory bail, if afforded to him. It is thus prayed that this petition be

allowed.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from HC Sukhwinder Singh, verifies that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case. It is stated that he has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

There are no allegations on behalf of the State that the petitioner is likely to abscond or that he is likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts in the Court, if released on anticipatory bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above, but without commenting upon or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. Consequently, order dated 09.03.2018, is made absolute.

It is clarified that none of the observations made hereinabove shall be construed to be a reflection on the merits of the case. The same are solely confined for the purpose of decision of the present petition.

09.05.2018
s.khan

[LISA GILL]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
Whether reportable : Yes/No.