

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CRM-M-10217-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 30.4.2018

Gurwinder Singh

....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Sant Pal Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ravi Kamal Gupta, Advocate,
for the complainant.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, “Cr.P.C.) for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.19 dated 18.1.2015 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 302, 307, 452, 34 of Indian Penal Code (for short, “IPC”) at Police Station Sahnewal, District Ludhiana.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that bail has been sought solely on the ground that in the FIR, injury attributed to the petitioner has been shown on the chin of the deceased but no such injury has been shown in the post mortem report but while going through the examination of PW5-Dr. Anupamjit Singh Dhaliwal, Medical Officer, Fortis Hospital, Ludhiana and his affidavit Ex.PW5/A, the following injuries have been found: -

- “1. Laceration (Midline) frontoparietal region (12 cm x 1 cm)
2. Laceration (left side) fronto temporal region (6 cm x 1 cm)
3. Laceration (right) temporal region (6 cm x 1 cm)
4. Laceration with puncture wound, left forearm
5. Swelling, pain, deformity left forearm
6. distorted jaw (oral bleed present)
7. Laceration (left) jaw (3 cm x 1 cm)”

The doctor was cross-examined at length. Nothing was put to him with regard to the injuries on the chin.

Keeping in view the seriousness of the allegations and the role attributed to the petitioner, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner is not entitled to the benefit of regular bail.

Dismissed.

April 30, 2018

Paritosh Kumar

**(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No