

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10216 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 28.05.2018

Jaggu @ Jugnu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Surinder Gandhi, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Ripudaman, AAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Bajje Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.46 dated 11.07.2016, registered under Section 377, 323, 365, 307, 506, 376 of IPC and Section 6 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012, at Police Station Women Rohtak.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The investigation stands concluded and the challan has been presented. The conclusion of trial will take a long time. The petitioner is in custody since 19.07.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

This is the second bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner. The application moved by the petitioner for declaring him juvenile has been dismissed by the competent Court. Considering the age of the victim and the gravity of allegations, no case for regular bail is made out.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

28.05.2018
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No