

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-10214 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.10.2018**

Ramesh @ Tini

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Deepanshu Matya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.34 dated 13.01.2015 registered under Section 302 read with Section 34 IPC and Section 25,54,59 of the Arms Act at Police Station Sadar, District Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been implicated in the case on the basis of disclosure statement and no connecting evidence is there to prove the involvement of the petitioner in commission of offence. No specific role has been attributed to the petitioner.

Admittedly, after presentation of challan, charges have been framed but even the complainant has not been examined so far.

Keeping in view the nature of offence i.e. under Section 302 IPC as well as the Arms Act and also the stage of trial, no ground is made out to release the petitioner on regular bail.

Dismissed.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to file fresh petition after recording of statement of complainant.

**30.10.2018
neetu**

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No