

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No.M-10195 of 2018

Date of decision : 15.03.2018

Sonu

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana
assisted by SI Anoop.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No. 0008 dated 09.01.2018, registered under Sections 376/511/452 IPC, Police Station Lakhan Majra, District Rohtak.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is in custody since 17.01.2018 and investigation is over and challan has been presented and the FIR was lodged for different reasons and the complainant has now made a statement withdrawing the allegations. Counsel refers to the Hindi version of the FIR to show that it was not a case of attempt to rape and at the most a case under Section 354 IPC. It was urged that the complainant has made a statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. and has stated that she had given the complaint under misconception and she did not want any action on her complaint. Copy of the statement was placed on record.

A complaint was made by the married woman. She has

withdrawn all the allegations on the next date. Her statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. has been recorded. The Challan has already been presented. The trial will take time to conclude. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

15.03.2018
sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No