

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-A-321-MA of 2017 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION :- March 14, 2018**

Naveen Kumar

...Applicant

Versus

Manish Aggarwal

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAN

Present:- None for the applicant.

CRM-5511 of 2017

There is no representation on behalf of the applicant. Similar was the position on the earlier date of hearing.

This is an application under Section 378(4) Cr.P.C. praying for special leave to appeal against the impugned order dated 19.8.2016 and 1.9.2016. The petition/application for special leave to appeal has not been filed within time rather belatedly by 110 days. An application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act praying for condonation of delay has been filed for the reason that the delay took place on account of wrong legal advice. This reason does not seem to be cogent and convincing. Therefore, the application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act is doomed for failure and the same stands dismissed.

CRM-A-321-MA of 2017

The application under Section 378(4) Cr.P.C. is barred to fail being time barred. However, on merits also no ground for grant of special leave to appeal is made out. A perusal of the impugned orders goes to show

that since no illegality or infirmity comes out to be there in, therefore, the petition/application for grant of special leave to appeal stands dismissed.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

March 14, 2018
p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No