

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-10078 of 2018
Date of Decision : 09.03.2018

Parmila and another

... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S.WALIA

Present: Mr. Robin Lohan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel contends that the petitioners are major as the date of birth of petitioner No.1 as per Aadhar Card (Annexure P-1) is 20.06.1995, while the date of birth of petitioner No.2 as per Aadhar Card (Annexure P-2) is 27.01.1997 and that on account of mutual liking for each other, they got married on 7.03.2018 at Tridev Sanatan Dharam Prachar Samiti, MDC, Panchkula and were issued certificate in this regard. The same is not on record, but original of the same has been produced in Court. The same is taken on record.

2. Learned counsel further contends that the marriage of the petitioners was not to the liking of respondents No.4 to 8 i.e. father, mother and brothers of petitioner No.1, who are threatening the petitioners with harm to their life and liberty. He further contends that despite the petitioners having given a representation (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2, no action has been taken in respect thereto, therefore, the petitioners have been constrained to approach this Court for protection of their life and liberty.

3. Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only at this stage.

Mr. Satish Saini, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3. Complete copy of paperbook has been supplied to the learned State counsel in Court.

4. Without expressing any opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage and the merits of the assertions made in the petition, the present petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Hisar, to consider the representation dated 07.03.2018 (Annexure P-4), moved by the petitioners and assess threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 8 and if the circumstances of the case so warrant to provide appropriate protection to the petitioners, in accordance with law.

5. With aforementioned directions, the present petition is disposed of.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

09.03.2018

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No