

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**Crl. Misc. No. M-1000 of 2018
Date of Decision: 21.3.2018**

Iddu and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Susheel Gautam, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Kumar, AAG, Haryana

Mr. Ram Pal Verma, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J (ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No. 229 dated 13.2.2014 registered under Sections 498-A, 406, 323, 506 IPC, Police Station City Panipat (Annexure P-1) and all the consequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 12.12.2017 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Report has been received from the trial Court after statements of the parties were recorded regarding the compromise. The trial Court has reported that the compromise is genuine and has been effected without any threat, fear, inducement and pressure. The trial Court has also sent the statements of the parties.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions submits that petitioners are the only accused and respondent No. 2 is the only aggrieved

person in this FIR.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the FIR pending.

In view of the statements and report of the trial Court and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all consequent proceedings conducted on the basis thereof are quashed qua the petitioners.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

March 21, 2018
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No