

336(3 cases)IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of decision : 09.02.2018

1. FAO-1410-1992(O&M)

Union of India and another

..... Appellants

versus

Mukhtiar Singh and others

..... Respondents

2. FAO-1411-1992(O&M)

Union of India and another

..... Appellants

versus

Ram Singh and others

..... Respondents

3. FAO-1412-1992(O&M)

Union of India and another

..... Appellants

versus

Gian Chand and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate
for the appellants.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellants has argued that the Constitution Bench in Union of India v. Chajju Ram(Dead) by LRs and others, 2003(5)SCC 568, has unequivocally held that under the Requisitioning and Acquisition of Immovable Property Act, 1952 there is no provision for payment of interest. However, when I pointed out to him that in that case their lordships had not allowed recovery on the ground that

amounts were inconsequential and had been paid a long time ago, he has fairly accepted that that position exists in this case also and has been noticed in the order dated 19.11.1992.

In this view of the matter, these appeals are dismissed.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

February 09, 2018
sunita

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No