

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-A-823-MA of 2016

DATE OF DECISION :- May 11, 2018

Ram Kishan

Versus

...Applicant

The State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present:- None for the applicant.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

There is no representation on behalf of the applicant. Similar was the position on last two previous dates of hearing. Notice to respondent no. 2 could not be issued as correct address has not been filed by the applicant. On the last date of hearing also observing that notice could not be issued to respondent no. 2 on account of non filing of correct address by the applicant and it was clarified that in case of default being repeated, the petition would be liable to be dismissed.

There being no representation on behalf of the applicant for the three successive dates and default of furnishing correct address of respondent no. 2 being represented. It seems that applicant is not interested in further prosecution of the petition. The same stands dismissed for non prosecution.

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

May 11, 2018

p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No