

CRM-A-44-MA-2016 (O/M)

Jagdish Chander Yadav Versus M/s Apex Ents. and another

Present :- Mr. S.K. Kaushik, Advocate,
 for applicant.

-.-

-.-

Heard.

The learned counsel for applicant contends that trial Court has held that complainant could not prove that cheque was issued to discharge legal liability. It is contended that cheque was issued by accused in favour of complainant to repay loan

Leave to appeal granted. Registry is directed to assign number to appeal.

Application is disposed of.

Main case

LCR already received.

Since cheque was issued by respondent No. 2 in his personal capacity, notice of motion to respondent No. 2 only for 23.1.2019.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

15.11.2018
sjks