

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.227

CRM-A-348-MA-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 23.08.2018

Surinder Bansal

..... Appellant.

VERSUS

Urmila Devi

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Rajesh Gupta, Advocate, for the appellant.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The appellant is the complainant. He had preferred a complaint under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (hereinafter referred to as the 'Act') against the respondent, which has been rejected vide impugned judgment dated 15.01.2016. Hence, the present appeal against acquittal.

Perusal of the impugned judgment shows that the complaint was rejected on the ground that the legal presumption drawn in favour of the holder of the cheque under the provisions of the Act, has been successfully rebutted by the respondent-accused.

Learned counsel for the appellant has not been able to successfully attack this finding. Thus, I see no ground to interfere with the impugned judgment.

Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed.

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

23.08.2018

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No