

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****Date of decision: 15.05.2018****CWP No.18940 of 1995**

Yoginder Singh & others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: None for the petitioners except petitioners No.18 & 19.

Mr. B.K.Bagri, Advocate, for petitioners No.18 & 19.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

Heard.

It is not disputed that the present matter is covered by the decision of the Supreme Court in State of Haryana & others Vs. Hem Lata Gupta & others, (2010) 2 SCC 369. As per *Hem Lata Gupta's* case, those Teachers, who had acquired higher qualifications in terms of Government policy dated 20.06.1977, after appointment and in service, they would have a right to the benefit of advance increment. The instructions dated 20.06.1977 were withdrawn on 20.12.1982, but the accrued benefits following to the share of the petitioners before the withdrawal of the policy would have to be disbursed to them.

Consequently, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to process the case of the petitioners in accordance with law and release benefits as per policy then prevailing within and do so within a period of three months from the date of receiving of a

certified copy of this order, in case the benefit has not already been sanctioned and disbursed.

15.05.2018
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>