

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(212) **CRM-24881-2017 in/&
CRM-A-1717-MA-2017
Date of Decision: August 23, 2018.**

State of Haryana

.... Appellant

Versus

Sandeep Parmar

..... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present: Mr. S.S. Pannu, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Connected revision petition filed by the complainant has been withdrawn today.

Learned counsel for the appellant-State has submitted that even if the complainant has withdrawn the revision petition, the offence being a wrong against the State, the State will like to proceed with the appeal against the respondent-accused.

We have heard counsel for the appellant-State at length.

There is delay of 610 days in filing of the appeal.

We have gone through the reasons mentioned in the application for condonation of inordinate delay of 610 days.

The delay appears to be on account of obtaining of certified copies of the impugned judgment; receipt of comments of the District Magistrate; obtaining of sanction of the competent authority and obtaining of opinion of Law Officer/Legal Remembrancer office. No doubt, the above said procedure takes time but it has to be completed within a

reasonable period. The above said official formalities should generally be completed within the time of limitation, or in the alternative, within a reasonable period.

In the present case, there is delay of 610 days in filing of the appeal which, on the face of it, appears to be unreasonable and not explained to the satisfaction of the Court. Even otherwise, the revision petition filed by the complainant herself, stands withdrawn.

Taking into consideration the right of an acquitted accused and no sufficient reasons having been given for inordinate delay, no ground is made out for condoning the delay.

Application for condonation of delay of 610 days in filing the appeal, is dismissed.

Application for leave to appeal thus stands dismissed.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

August 23, 2018
harsha

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No