

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(208)

**CRM-32348-2016 in/and
CRM-A-1894-MA-2016
Decided on: March 13, 2018.**

Het Ram

.... Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL**

Present: None for the appellant.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

CRM-32348-2016

The miscellaneous application is allowed.

Delay of 25 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

CRM-A-1894-MA-2016

Appellant/complainant, Het Ram father of Monika, is aggrieved by the order of acquittal passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Sirsa on 13.05.2016 acquitting respondent No.2 Deepak in a case of abduction and rape of Monika.

The trial Court has taken into consideration the fact that prosecutrix Monika had voluntarily gone with Deepak by travelling in a train from Rewari to Hisar and stayed with him. The trial Court has also taken into consideration the fact that there is sufficient evidence on the record in the shape of marriage certificate Ex. DH and photographs Ex. DA to Ex. DG indicating that she voluntarily married Deepak without any pressure and ultimately passed the acquittal order.

After going through the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the opinion that the lower Court, on fair and judicious appreciation of evidence on the record, has rightly acquitted respondent No.2 Deepak by giving benefit of doubt in a case of alleged rape and abduction.

No ground is made out to grant leave to appeal.

The application for leave to appeal, filed by appellant Het Ram, is therefore, dismissed.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

March 13, 2018.
harsha

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No