

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANAAT
CHANDIGARH**

Regular Second Appeal No. 1873 of 1988(O&M)

Date of Decision: September 28 , 2018.

Yashpal Chand Jain and others

..... APPELLANT (s)

Versus

Smt. Vidya Devi and others

..... RESPONDENT (s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: None.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

LISA GILL, J.

The counsel who has filed this appeal has been elevated to the Bench. Notice was issued to the parties i.e., the appellants as well as the respondents vide order dated 20.01.2015. Perusal of the file reveals that fresh notice had been issued to the appellants as well as respondents No.4 to 6.

It is to be noted that as per the office report appellants No.1 and 2 were duly served. Appellant No.3 is reported to have passed away. None has appeared on behalf of appellants No.1 and 2, despite service. The matter was adjourned on 18.09.2018 to await the appearance on behalf of appellants No.1 and 2 as well as the respondents. Today again, there is no representation on

behalf of the appellants. There is no appearance on behalf of the respondents either. It appears that appellants No.1 and 2 as well as legal representatives of appellant No.3 are not interested in pursuing the matter any further.

Appeal is accordingly dismissed in default.

Copy of this order be sent to the appellants at their last known address.

September 28 , 2018.
'om'

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No