

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Appeal S-416-SB of 2011
Date of Decision : October 16, 2018

Jakir Hussain

.....Appellant

VERSUS

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.P.S. MANN

Present : None for the appellant.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, Asstt. A.G., Haryana.

T.P.S. MANN, J. (Oral)

The appellant was tried for committing offences punishable under Section 395 read with Section 397 IPC and Sections 458 and 412 IPC. Vide judgment and order dated 3/5.4.2010, learned Additional Sessions Judge, Gurgaon (now Gurugram) convicted him under Section 395 read with Section 397 IPC and sentenced him to undergo rigorous imprisonment for ten years and to pay a fine of Rs.3,000/- and in default of payment of fine, to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for six months. He was further convicted under Section 412 IPC and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for seven years and to pay a fine of Rs.2,000/- and in default of payment of fine, to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for four months. He was

also convicted under Section 458 IPC and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for seven years and to pay a fine of Rs.2,000/- and in default of payment of fine, to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for four months. However, all the substantive sentences were ordered to run concurrently.

As per the custody certificate dated 26.10.2017 already brought on record by the learned State counsel on 24.11.2017, the appellant was released from the jail on 18.10.2017 on completing the sentence of imprisonment and depositing the fine.

None has put in appearance for the appellant. The reasons for the same may be the appellant having completed the sentences and deposited the fine.

In view of the above, the appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

October 16, 2018
amit rana

(T.P.S. MANN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No