

243
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-956-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 27.4.2018

Roop Chand (deceased) through LRs **Petitioners**

Versus

Bakhtawar (deceased) through LRs and another **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Anil Dua, Advocate for
Mr. B.S. Bairagi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S.P. Chahar, Advocate for respondent No.1.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that he could not get instructions.

Learned counsel for respondent No. 1 states that sale deed has been executed and the possession has been delivered and in view of the said fact, present petition has become infructuous.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Liberty is granted to the petitioner to get the petition revived in case the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent is found to be incorrect.

Since, the main petition is disposed of as infructuous, the miscellaneous application pending if any also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

27.4.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes /No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No