

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 9175 of 2017
Date of decision: 10.5.2018**

Arkiyan @ Gurbachan Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

General Public and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. M.S. Sodhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved by an order dated 28.9.2017, rendered by Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Division), Fatehgarh Sahib, vide which, her petition under Section 372 of the Indian Succession Act, 1925, has since been rejected.

Concededly, the petitioner prayed to be granted succession certificate in respect of an agricultural land, situated in village Jalberi Dhoomi, Tehsil Sirhind, District Fatehgarh Sahib. That being so, in the wake of the provisions of Sections 70 and 72 of the Act, the trial court rejected the petition as the succession certificate could only be issued as regards any debt or security, and not qua an immovable property. Thus, the petition was dismissed being non maintainable. On being pointedly asked, learned counsel for the petitioner could not refer to any provision or precedent to show, as to how such a petition would be maintainable.

In conspectus of the above, no ground is made out to interfere in exercise of revisional jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. The petition is accordingly dismissed.

10.5.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO