

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.9168 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : January 08, 2018

Pritam Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Harpreet Kaur and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Amandeep Singh Jawandha, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 26.09.2017 (Annexure P-1) passed by the learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Ludhiana, whereby the application of the petitioner-defendant filed under Order 7, Rule 11 read with Section 151 CPC for the rejection of the plaint, was dismissed.

Petitioner claims that the suit is time barred. However, the lower court has taken the view that some payments were made in the year 2012. Therefore, it is a mixed question of law and facts. The rejection of the plaint at this stage is not justified.

As such, there is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned order.

Thus, the present revision petition is dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

January 08, 2018

sarita