

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

CR No.9164 of 2017

Date of Decision:-14.02.2018

Sanjay

...Petitioner

Versus

Sunita

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Naveen Kashyap, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ram Kumar Kashyap, Advocate
for the respondent.

AMIT RAWAL J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the revision petition has been filed for setting aside the order dated 30.11.2017 (Annexure P-5) passed by the District Judge (Additional Family Court), Karnal whereby the application filed by the respondent under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 was allowed and the petitioner was directed to pay maintenance to the tune of Rs.4000/- per month to the respondent and also directed to pay litigation expenses to the tune of Rs.3300/- to the respondent-wife. But now as the divorce petition filed by the wife has been allowed, the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

February 14, 2018
Vijay Asija

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No