

**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.9034 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : February 27, 2018**

Lakhwinder Singh and another Petitioners

Versus

Jinderpal Kaur and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rahul Kumar Prashar, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 03.11.2017 passed by learned Addl. District Judge, Barnala, vide which while reversing the order 14.12.2015 passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Barnala, temporary injunction was granted restraining both the parties from transferring, alienating and disposing of the suit property fully detailed in the head note of the plaint.

It comes out that a Will dated 10.08.2011 executed by Mahender Singh has been challenged by the plaintiffs. Through the Will, some share of the property had gone to the defendants also. Though, the trial Court refused the injunction but lower appellate Court found that since the Will is under challenge, therefore, both the parties were restrained from alienating the disputed property. There is nothing wrong with the said order as the property is required to be preserved during the pendency of the suit.

There is no ground to interfere in the impugned order.

As such, the present revision petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

February 27, 2018
sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No