

CR No.9016 of 2017

{1}

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.9016 of 2017

Date of decision:22.11.2018

Kulwant Rai

... Petitioner

Vs.

Land Acquisition Collector-cum-Sub Divisional Magistrate and another
... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. C.M.Munjal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Amit Jain, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

The present revision petition is directed against the impugned order dated 04.09.2017 (Annexure P-7), whereby, the execution application was adjourned sine die as the dispute with regard to allotment in favour of the petitioner being a sitting tenant at the behest of big landlord was pending adjudication before this Court in CWP No.13733 of 1998 which stands admitted.

It is also not in dispute that amount of compensation determined lying deposited. The apportionment of share cannot be determined in the absence of decision, therefore, the impugned order adjourning the execution application sine die cannot be faulted with.

However, since the amount is lying deposited, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the petition by issuing a direction to the trial Court to deposit the amount in FDRs so that the amount would incur the interest.

The revision petition stands disposed of.

November 22, 2018

savita

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No