

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

225

CR-919-2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 03.12.2018

SURESH KUMAR

... Petitioner

Versus

NAVINDER SINGH

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Bansal, Advocate for the respondent.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. The situation was no different on the last date of hearing. The petitioner has failed to comply with order dated 12.11.2018 with regard to payment of Rs.10,000/- towards costs. A relevant extract from order dated 12.11.2018, reads as follows:-

“... Though there is no justification for further adjournment of the case which has come up for hearing after more than a year from the last date, another opportunity is allowed subject to payment of Rs.10,000/- towards costs to be paid by way of demand draft in the name of respondent/landlord. ... ”

Counsel for the respondent would apprise the Court that till date the petitioner or his counsel has not given him demand draft of Rs.10,000/- in compliance of order dated 12.11.2018.

The Registry has submitted a report that costs of Rs.10,000/- has not been deposited in the Registry. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner to say something in the matter. For want of representation on behalf of the petitioner and to pay costs Rs.10,000/- imposed vide order dated 12.11.2018, there is no alternative with the Court except to dismiss the petition.

Dismissed.

03.12.2018

**(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE**

ashok

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes / No