

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 8783 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 24.1.2018

Gian Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Virender Kaur

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. G.K. Mann, Advocate, for petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- .- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in present revision is the order dated 7.11.2017 (Annexure-P-4), passed by learned District Judge, Family Court-1, Faridabad, vide which ex parte judgment dated 12.10.2015 was set aside.

Heard

It comes out that a divorce petition was pending between Gian Singh and Virender Kaur which was at evidence stage. The lower Court has found that case is being contested and was fixed for evidence. On one date, according to applicant wife, case was fixed for 13.10.2015. However, the date was changed to 12.10.2015, which was not communicated to applicant wife and she did not appear. Therefore, she was proceeded against ex parte and ex parte divorce decree was passed on the same day i.e. 12.10.2015. The learned District Judge, Family Court-1, Faridabad, after examining the record found that indeed there is cutting of date from 13.10.2015 to 12.10.2015. Further, it was found that applicant wife was proceeded against ex parte on same date and ex parte divorce decree was passed on the

CR No. 8783 of 2017 (O/M)

same date.

After going through the judgment of learned District Judge, Family Court-1, Faridabad, I do not find any illegality and infirmity in the impugned order. Consequently, revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

24.1.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No