

**253 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.8718 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 01, 2018**

Jagdish Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Kartara Ram and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Dhruv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurpreet Singh and Mr. Ginnijeet Malhotra, Advocates
for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent Nos.1 to 3 have put in appearance but none has appeared for respondent No.4, despite service.

Learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 3 has informed this Court that the Local Commissioner had already executed the impugned order dated 09.11.2017 (Annexure P-3) and submitted the report before the trial Court on 08.12.2017.

If it is so, the petitioner can always file objection against the report of the Local Commissioner and the trial Court can look into the objection and proceed to decide the main case on merits.

In view of the aforesaid, the present revision petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

March 01, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No