

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 8653 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 11.1.2018

Simranjeet Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Anju

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vishal Yadav, Advocate, for petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is aggrieved of the order dated 4.8.2017 (Annexure-P-4), passed by the learned District Judge, Family Court, Barnala, vide which he was directed to pay Rs. 6,000/- per month as maintenance pendent lite.

After hearing the learned counsel for petitioner, I am of the view that keeping in view the prices of essential commodities, one can barely survive with Rs. 6,000/- per month. There is no illegality or infirmity in the quantum of maintenance, fixed by the Family Court, Barnala. Instant revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

11.1.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No