

**231-a IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.8652 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : February 20, 2018

Balwinder Singh Malhi and another

..... **Petitioners**

Versus

Rajinder Singh Bath

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Satnam Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Divanshu Jain, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 05.09.2017 (Annexure P-8) passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali, vide which the evidence of the defendants-petitioners was closed by orders.

Heard.

It comes out that the revision was pending before this Court and on account of the said fact, the defendants-petitioners did not lead their evidence. Their application for further adjournment was dismissed.

Learned counsel for the respondent has no objection, if one more opportunity is granted to the defendants-petitioners to conclude their evidence, subject to payment of costs.

Accordingly, the impugned order dated 05.09.2017 (Annexure P-8) passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali is set aside and the petitioners-defendants are given one more opportunity to

conclude their entire evidence by producing all the witnesses under responsibility by taking summons *dasti*, if necessary, subject to payment of costs of Rs.5,000/- to be paid to the opposite party.

As such, the present revision petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

February 20, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No