

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.**

**CR No. 8611 of 2017 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 19.02.2018**

Rajneesh Chauhan

.....Petitioner

**Versus**

Suneet Tayal

.....Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA**

Present: Mr. G.S. Sirphikhi, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Bahl, Sr. Advocate with  
Ms. Monica Bahl, Advocate  
for the respondent.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)**

Heard.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that he has no objection if the judgment and decree dated 02.12.2014 passed by Civil Judge (Jr. Division), Chandigarh is tendered in evidence by the petitioner. He, however, submits that purpose of the revision-petitioner is only to delay disposal of ejectment petition, which was filed on 26.08.2009. The petition is at final stage. Earlier vide order dated 03.11.2017, learned Rent Controller was directed to dispose of the petition within two months. Fresh direction may be issued to learned Rent Controller to dispose of the petition at the earliest.

In view of submission of learned counsel for respondent, instant revision-petition is allowed and the petitioner is permitted to tender the judgment and decree dated 02.12.2014 passed by Civil Judge

(Jr.Division), Chandigarh in evidence.

Keeping in view the fact that ejectment petition is quite old, learned Rent Controller is directed to dispose of the same within a period of two months of the receipt of copy of this order.

**February 19, 2018**

*jk*

**( SURINDER GUPTA )  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No