

**251 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.8584 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 01, 2018**

Surinder Kumar Sharma **Petitioner**

Versus

Arun Sareen **Respondent**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Sethi and Mr. Prerak Kakkar, Advocates
for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has fairly conceded that in terms of the last order, the petitioner has not deposited the outstanding arrears of rent with the Court below within one month.

On the last date of hearing, it was stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is ready to deposit the outstanding arrears of rent, in case he is allowed one month's time for the purpose.

On account of non-deposit of arrears of rent by the petitioner, his defence was struck off . Since, the petitioner has not complied with the order passed by this Court, therefore, the present revision petition is dismissed, with cost qualifying at ₹10,000/-, payable to the opposite party.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

March 01, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No