

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.982 of 2016
Date of decision : 27.02.2018

Rajwati and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Prem Dutt Sharma @ Prem Dutt Bhardwaj and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Johan Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The defendants-petitioners are in the revision petition against the order passed by the trial Court dismissing the application for rejection of the plaint on the ground that the proper court fee has not been affixed.

In the considered opinion of this Court, no revision petition is maintainable at the hands of the defendants against the order passed by the trial Court, rejecting the application for directing the plaintiff to pay the ad valorem court fee. Reference in this regard can be made to the judgment passed by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in *Rathnavarmaraja Vs. Smt. Vimla*, AIR 1961 SC 1299.

In view of the above, the present revision petition is dismissed.

27.02.2018

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- **Yes/No**

Whether reportable:- **Yes/No**