

**114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.959 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : February 14, 2018

Harchand Singh and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

Dalip Singh (since deceased) through LRs and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Chopra, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Plaintiffs have filed a suit for specific performance with alternative prayer for recovery of a sum of ₹5,60,17,500/-. Now, after the framing of issues, the plaintiffs have moved an application for amendment of plaint, so as to withdraw the prayer for specific performance of the agreement, in view of the event, which took place during the pendency of the suit and restricts their prayer only to the alternative relief.

I am of the view that the said amendment is unnecessary. The plaintiffs are the masters of their suit and at any stage, they can make a prayer to the Court that they restrict themselves to a particular prayer. Therefore, formal amendment is not necessary.

As such, the present petition is dismissed with abovenoted observations.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

February 14, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No