

**116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
CR No.934 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : February 22, 2018**

Smt. Krishna Devi and another **Petitioners**

Versus

Suniti and another **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Kartar Singh Malik(1), Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 19.01.2018 (Annexure P-8) passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Rohtak, vide which the application filed by the petitioner for appointment of the Local Commissioner, has been dismissed.

A perusal of the impugned order shows that in a proceeding for passing of the final decree in partition, the Local Commissioner has submitted the report. The Local Commissioner was to suggest its mode. If the parties are not satisfied then they are free to suggest their own interested modes. Hence, it was found that there is no need to appoint the fresh Local Commissioner. I am of the view that if any mode is accepted, the parties can always challenge the same. There is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned order. All the connected misc. applications also stand disposed.

In view of the above, the present revision petition is dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

February 22, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No