

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.9 of 2018

Date of decision : 08.01.2018

Kulwant Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Satish Kumar and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Ms. Khyati Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is wife of the Judgment Debtor. There is a decree for recovery of money against the husband.

The petitioner-wife filed the objections to the attachment of the property owned by the husband claiming that the aforesaid property was given to her in a family settlement.

It is not in dispute that such family settlement as alleged by the petitioner is not reflected in the revenue record.

Learned Executing Court after considering the facts available on the file has recorded the finding that in fact there is no family settlement and the objections filed by the petitioner are only to frustrate the decree for recovery of the amount passed against the Judgment Debtor-husband.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner at length and with her able assistance gone through the documents filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated her objections filed before the Executing Court.

Learned Executing Court has already considered these aspects while dismissing the objections. The order passed by the Executing Court is not shown to be suffering from any material irregularity.

In view thereof, there is no scope for interference in the present revision petition.

Revision petition is dismissed.

08.01.2018

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No