

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR-892-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 9.2.2018**

Mohinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Tarsem Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Anish Setia, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

CM-2778-CII-2018

Present application is for bringing the LR's of respondent No. 6 on record.

For the reasons mentioned in the application LR's of respondent No. 6, as mentioned at Sr. No. 2 of the application, are taken on record and ordered to be impleaded as respondents.

Application stands disposed of.

CR-892-2018

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 9.1.2014 (Annexure P-1), passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Jalandhar, vide which defence of defendants No. 4 and 6 was struck off for not filing the written statement despite availing number of opportunities spreading over one year. The said order was never challenged by way of revision petition.

No prayer has been made for condoning the delay to challenge the order dated 9.1.2014, passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Jalandhar, therefore, revision against the said order dated 9.1.2014 is time barred and the same is dismissed.

Second order dated 10.5.2017, whereby application filed by plaintiffs for striking off defence of defendant No. 4-Mohinder Singh was dismissed on the ground that it has already been struck off on 9.1.2014. Therefore, there is no illegality in the said order.

Dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

9.2.2018

preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes
No