

**232 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.2814-CII-2016 in/and
CR No.880 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 06, 2018**

Ramesh Chand Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

The Indian Sugar & General Engg. Corpn. and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. V.K. Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed against the order dated 12.04.2007 passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Jagadhri as well as the judgment dated 27.02.2009 passed by learned Addl. District Judge, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri, vide which an application of the petitioner filed under Order XXXIX, Rule 2-A CPC, 1908 was dismissed, after recording the findings by the learned learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Jagadhri. The said order was upheld in appeal.

Along with the present revision petition, an application for condonation of delay of 2187 days, which is approximately 6 years in re-filing the present revision petition has also been filed.

It is stated that during the shifting of the office by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the paper book of the present petition got mixed

up with some other brief of RSA and when the said regular appeal was listed, only then the counsel came to know that the present revision has not been re-filed.

I am of the view that what may be the reason, 6 years delay in re-filing the present revision petition cannot be condoned. If the paper book of the present case got mixed up with the other brief or the paper book was missing and the same was not traceable, the counsel should have taken out another printout of the same and have filed the revision petition within time. He should have kept the track of cases being filed by him. Thus, there is no ground to condone a huge delay of 2187 days in re-filing the present revision petition. Therefore, the application for condonation of delay stands dismissed. Consequently, the present revision petition also stands dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

March 06, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No