

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

224

Date of decision: 22.10.2018
CR-8810-2015 (O&M)

BHUPINDER KUMAR GULATI

... Petitioner

Versus

ASHOK KUMAR & OTHERS

... Respondents

CR-8811-2015 (O&M)

BHUPINDER KUMAR GULATI

... Petitioner

Versus

SUNITA RANI & OTHERS

... Respondents

CR-8812-2015 (O&M)

BHUPINDER KUMAR GULATI

... Petitioner

Versus

SURAJ PARKASH & OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present : Mr. D.K. Tuteja, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Dinesh Arora, Advocate for the respondents.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

This order will dispose of CR Nos.8810 to 8812 of 2015 as identical questions of law and fact are involved for adjudication. For sake of convenience, facts are taken from CR No.8810 of 2015.

Counsel for the petitioner would state that the present petition has been filed to challenge the order passed by the Appellate Authority whereby order passed by the Rent Controller assessing provisional rent @ Rs.1000/- per month has been modified and provisional rent has been assessed @ Rs.200/- per month. He would further state that he does not press the petition on merits but some time bound directions may be issued

to CR Nos.8810 to 8812 of 2015 (O&M)

-2-

the Rent Controller to decide the application for eviction. According to counsel, an application has been filed by the petitioner for issuance of direction to the contesting party to permit the building expert to inspect the property for preparing report in regard to its condition as one of the grounds of eviction is that the building has become unfit and unsafe for human habitation.

Counsel for the respondents has got no objection but has expressed his apprehension that in the process, the respondents may not be given sufficient time to adduce evidence after evidence is closed by the petitioner.

In view of the above, the present petitions are disposed of. The Rent Controller is directed to dispose of pending miscellaneous application(s) within a period of one month from the next date fixed in the proceedings. After evidence is closed by the petitioner, the respondents would be given six months' time to adduce evidence by giving them adequate effective opportunities for the purpose. The Rent Controller would put its best efforts to dispose of the petitions expeditiously after evidence of respondents is completed.

22.10.2018

ashok

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes / No
Yes / No