

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

237

CR No.8776 of 2016 (O & M)
Date of Decision:27.07.2018

Meena Kumari

...Petitioner

Versus

Varinder Kumari

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. H.K. Arora, Advocate
for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel appearing for the landlord-respondent has informed the Court that possession of the premises has already been taken over as interim protection granted was vacated. The case has been called twice, however, none has come present on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner.

Keeping in view the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent, revision petition is rendered infructuous. However, the petitioner is granted to move an application, if facts are otherwise.

Revision petition is dismissed.

27.07.2018
sheetal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No