

202.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CIVIL REVISION-8724-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision:24.04.2018.

HARBHAJAN SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

HARWINDER SINGH & ANR

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupinder Kumar Gupta, Advocate, for
Mr. Gursimran Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Petitioner has filed the present revision petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 10.07.2014 (Annexure P-4) passed by learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Kapurthala, whereby the application filed by the petitioner-plaintiff under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 CPC for interim injunction was dismissed. Petitioner has also challenged the order dated 04.09.2015 (Anneuxre P-5) passed by learned Additional District Judge, Kapurthala, whereby the appeal against the order dated 10.07.2014 was also dismissed.

Parties are *ad idem* to the fact that in the pending suit before the trial Court, the proceedings are at the fag end of its conclusion as both the parties have led their respective evidence and the case is fixed for orders on merits.

Since the trial has already reached an advance stage, there is no idea to keep the present revision petition pending.

Accordingly, present revision petition is disposed of at this stage with the observation that the trial Court shall expedite the decision of the suit. However, till the decision, the interim order dated 22.12.2015 passed by this Court shall continue to operate.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

24.04.2018
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No