

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

139

CR No.8189 of 2017 (O & M)
Date of Decision:14.05.2018

Tajinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Khazan Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. S.S. Nara, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(Oral)

Plaintiff-petitioner is in the revision petition against the order passed by the learned trial Court dated 31.07.2017 closing the evidence of the plaintiff.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn attention of the Court to two summons issued to a doctor for 03.03.2017, which were served. However, the doctor i.e. Dr. Ramanpreet Singh Sood did not appear. The Court once again ordered him to be summoned for 24.07.2017. It was reported on the summons that doctor was not present at the spot. Hence, the summons were returned. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that the petitioner had made efforts to serve the doctor and evidence of the doctor would be necessary. He submits that only doctor is to be examined.

Taking into consideration the aforesaid facts, the order under challenge is modified. Petitioner-plaintiff is granted one opportunity to examine the doctor. It shall be the duty of the petitioner to get the service of the doctor effected on the date to be fixed by the learned trial Court.

With the aforesaid observations, the revision petition is disposed of.

14.05.2018
sheetal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE