

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.8184 of 2017

Date of Decision.28.03.2018

Rajinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs

Surinder Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. JPS Sarao, Advocate
for the petitioner.

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J.(ORAL)

In pursuance of the order dated 12.02.2018, costs of ₹2500/- has been deposited. Receipt thereof has been shown to the Court and taken on record.

The revision petition is bereft of the particulars as from the order it is evident that in criminal proceedings i.e. CRR No.3142 of 2014 reached upto this Court in respect of complaint filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, compromise had been arrived at between the parties, yet the decree holder is seeking execution of the decree as separate suit for recovery of the same amount had been sought. Once the compromise has been arrived at between the parties, the execution petition was required to be dismissed and rightly so, had been done.

In view of the aforementioned, I do not find any illegality and perversity in the order under challenge, much less, the same cannot be said to be passed without jurisdiction or beyond jurisdiction. The revision petition stands dismissed.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

March 28, 2018

Pankaj*

Whether reasoned/speaking Yes

Whether reportable No