

**114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.8162 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : January 10, 2018

Sukhjinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Harjinder Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 21.09.2017 passed by learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Bagha Purana, vide which two applications filed by the petitioner i.e. one under Order 1, Rule 10 CPC and another filed under Order 6, Rule 17 CPC were dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that parties had filed two suits for declaration. Out of which, one is pending at Bagha Purana and the second is pending at Jaitu. The petitioner wants to include the claim made in the suit pending at Jaitu in the suit pending at Bagha Purana and also to include the parties. The suit pending at Bagha Purana was filed in the year 2013, whereas the suit pending at Jaitu was filed in the year 2016.

I am of the view that since these are two different properties, the plaintiff can seek separate declaration and there was no just ground to include the claim made in the suit pending at Jaitu in the suit pending at Bagha Purana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner informs this Court that he has filed CR No.1180 of 2017 before this Court for consolidation of the aforesaid two suits.

It being so, I am of the view that the applications for amendment of the plaint and addition of the parties are rightly dismissed by the trial court.

As such, the present revision petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

January 10, 2018
sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No