

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

228

CR No.8428 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.04.2018

Amarjit Kaur Purewal

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sukhdev Singh Purewal and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Malkeet Singh, Advocate
for the respondents.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Plaintiff has challenged the order passed by the learned trial Court dated 04.10.2016 directing the defendant to file an affidavit admitting or denying the compromise arrived at through Attorney.

The plaintiff-petitioner had filed an application for directing the defendant No.1 to appear in person in Court. However, the learned trial Court taking into consideration the condition of health of defendant No.1 and after noticing that defendant No.1 is residing abroad, permitted defendant No.1 to file an affidavit.

During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner has suggested that the statement of defendant No.1 can be recorded through video conferencing with respect to the validity of the compromise filed in the Court through Attorney. Learned counsel for the respondents does not have any serious objection.

In view thereof, the order under challenge is modified. Learned trial Court is requested to make an arrangement and record the statement of defendant No.1 through video conferencing.

Revision petition is disposed of.

05.04.2018
Dinesh Bansal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No