

**236 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.8343 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 12, 2018**

Het Ram Petitioner

Versus

Meena alias Asha Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Arun Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Virender Soni, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondent has informed this Court that the case filed under Section 25 of the Guardian and Wards Act, 1890 has been decided by the trial Court. Therefore, the present revision against the interim order has become infructuous.

It being so, the present revision petition is disposed as having been rendered infructuous.

₹50,000/- deposited with the Registry, vide receipt No.2254 of 27.01.2017, have already been ordered to be disbursed to the respondent, vide order dated 09.05.2017.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

March 12, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned No

Whether Reportable: No