

245
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-8217-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 15.01.2018

Ramesh Aggarwal

..... Petitioner

Versus

Anita Aggarwal

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Amaninder Preet Singh, Advocate for respondent.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Challenged in the present revision petition is the order dated 29.3.2016 (Annexure P-5), passed by learned Additional District Judge, Gurdaspur vide which the application filed by respondent under Order 9 Rule 13 CPC for setting aside the ex-parte divorce decree dated 12.9.2014 was allowed.

Heard.

It comes out that vide order dated 6.12.2016 passed by this Court, notice of motion was issued to respondent only to the limited extent of exploring the possibility of amicable settlement. The matter was referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court. Report from the Mediator has been received back. As per the report the *matter could not settled between the parties.*

Since, notice was not issued on merits and it was only to the limited extent of exploring the possibility of amicable settlement, no ground for setting aside the impugned order dated 12.9.2014 is made out.

Dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

15.01.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No