

115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 7791 of 2018

Date of Decision: 16.11.2018

Baljeet

-Petitioner

Vs

Hosiyara (since deceased) through LRs and others

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate, for
Mr. S.S. Shekhawat, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Evidence of the plaintiff-petitioner was closed by order of the Court on 30.01.2018 after providing 10 effective opportunities for leading evidence in affirmative.

The conduct of the plaintiff-petitioner has been narrated in para no.3 of the impugned order.

Petitioner has not assailed the order dated 30.01.2018 vide which his evidence was closed.

In view of ratio of **Chand Singh vs Narinder Singh and others, 1990 (1) Criminal Law Journal 292**, prayer for additional evidence cannot be granted.

By virtue of prayer in question, the plaintiff cannot be permitted to get the order set at naught without making any lawful challenge to the same.

There is no error of jurisdiction in the impugned order.

This revision petition is found to be totally devoid of merits and the same is accordingly, dismissed.

16.11.2018
Jyoti Yadav

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No