

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR-7817-2017 (O&M)**

**Date of decision : 17.01.2018**

**Mohinder Kumar and another**

**..... Petitioners**

**Versus**

**Priti Devi and others**

**..... Respondents**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present:- Mr. Sahil Goel, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bhatnagar, Advocate for the respondent No. 1.

None for respondents No. 2 to 4.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

**KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)**

Respondents No. 2 to 4 have not come present despite service.

It comes out that present revision petition has been filed for setting aside the interim orders dated 29.9.2017, 1.11.2017 and 2.11.2017 (Annexure P-1 colly) passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Karnal, in civil Suit No. 2095 of 2017, titled as **Mohinder Kumar etc. vs. Priti Devi etc.** In the said suit, parties have been directed to maintain status-quo regarding possession.

Heard.

I am of the view that no revision petition against the interim orders is maintainable. Application filed under Order 39 Rule 1 CPC before the learned trial Court has not been finally decided, therefore, revision petition is dismissed.

However, trial Court is directed to decide the application under Order 39 Rule 1 CPC which is pending before learned trial Court within one month from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the order.

Dismissed in above terms.

**(KULDIP SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

17.01.2018

*preeti*

Whether speaking / reasoned  
Whether Reportable:

Yes  
No